

United States Patent and Trademark Office

fω

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/887,778	0	6/22/2001	Carl M. Panasik TI-32891		8711
23494	7590	03/30/2007		EXAMINER	
TEXAS IN P O BOX 65		NTS INCORPOR 3999	ATED		
DALLAS, TX 75265				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2007

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
11 - 4: <i>6</i> : -	stice of New Commisses Appeal Brief		PANASIK ET AL.			
NOTIFIC	ation of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief	09/887,778				
	(37 CFR 41.37)	Examiner	Art Unit			
·		PEREZ, ANGELICA	2618			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
The Ap	peal Brief filed on 28 January 2007 is defective	for failure to comply with one or r	more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37			
1205.0	id dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an 3) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from t ISIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRA	the mailing date of this Notificatio				
1. 🛛	The brief does not contain the items required unheading or in the proper order.	under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the iter	ns are not under the proper			
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).					
3. 🗆	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).					
4. 🛛	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).					
5. 🗆	The brief does not contain a concise statement 41.37(c)(1)(vi))	t of each ground of rejection pres	ented for review (37 CFR			
6.	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).					
7. 🗌	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).	e appealed claims as an appendi	x thereto (37 CFR			
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the evider other evidence entered by the examiner and restatement setting forth where in the record that thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).	elied upon by appellant in the a	ppeal, along with a			
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).					
10.	Other (including any explanation in support of	the above items):				
	Item 1. The Appeal Brief fails to contain heading X (when an item is not applicable. If there are no such be under the heading. Item 4. The claimed invention is not mapped to the land line number and to the drawings, if any.	copies of decisions being submitted,	an indication of "none" should			
			()			

LORENDA HOOD
PATENT APPEAL CENTER SPECIALIST